



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,435 06/27/2001		Royan Herbert Bartley	ROC920010184US1	2817	
24038 7	590 09/13/2004		EXAMINER		
MARTIN & ASSOCIATES, LLC			BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H		
P O BOX 548 CARTHAGE, MO 64836-0548			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICITINGE,	1410 04030-0340		2143		
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/12/200	DATE MAIL ED. 00/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/892,43	5	BARTLEY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Asghar Bi		2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
The state of the s	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
) Claim(s) is/are rejected.) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) israre objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the E.	xaminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/892,435

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim17 objected to because of the following informalities: "step of selling the customer computer system to the customer". The claim language is too broad and ambiguous and it does not fit in the context of the independent claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mikami et al (U.S. 5,704,031) and Farhat et al (U.S. 6,510,463,B1)
- 4. As per claims 1, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18 & 24 Mikami disclosed a computer system comprising: at least one processor; a memory coupled to the at least one processor; an execution data collection mechanism residing in the memory and executed by the at least one processor, the execution data collection mechanism collecting execution data for the computer system (col.1, lines 59-67 & col.2, lines 1-5); an execution data transmission mechanism residing in the memory and executed by the at least one processor, the execution data transmission mechanism,

Art Unit: 2143

when enabled, transmitting at least a portion of the execution data to another computer system coupled to the computer system via a network (col.2, lines 11-37).

However Mikami did not disclose in detail about an execution data access mechanism residing in the memory and executed by the at least one processor, the execution data access mechanism allowing access to the execution data by a user of the computer system only if the execution data transmission mechanism is enabled. In the same field of endeavor Farhat disclosed that client and server (vendor) could communicate by means of requests and/or responses (col.2, lines41-54).

It would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated access means by another computer as taught by Farhat to the computer system having execution data taught by Mikami in order to make the information available to another entity for analysis or record and thus making the system versatile.

- 5. As per claims 2 & 7 (Mikami-Farhat) disclosed the computer system of claim 1 wherein the computer system comprises a customer computer system and the another computer system comprises a vendor computer system (Mikami, col.1, lines 1-63).
- 6. As per claims 3, 8, 21 & 27 (Mikami-Farhat) disclosed the computer system of claim 1 wherein the execution data comprises data collected by an operating system residing in the memory and executed by the at least one processor (Mikami, col.1, lines 64-67 & col.2, lines 1-6).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,435

Art Unit: 2143

7. As per claims 4, 9, 22 & 28 (Mikami-Farhat) disclosed the computer system of claim 1

Page 4

wherein the execution data comprises data collected by a software application residing in the

memory and executed by the at least one processor (col.2, lines 11-21).

8. As per claims 5, 10, 23 & 29 (Mikami-Farhat) disclosed the computer system of claim 1

wherein the execution data comprises data collected 2 by an analysis program residing in the

memory and executed by the at least one processor (Mikami, col.2, lines 21-37).

9. As per claim 16 (Mikami-Farhat) disclosed the method of claim 15 further comprising

the step of; (D) the customer computer system sharing the execution data (Mikami, col.3, lines

1-14)

10. As per claim 19 & 25 (Mikami-Farhat) disclosed the program product of claim 18

wherein the signal bearing media comprises recordable media (Mikami, col.3, lines 14-25 &

col.4, lines 3-11)

11. As per claim 20 & 26 (Mikami-Farhat) the program product of claim 18 wherein the

signal bearing media comprises transmission media (Mikami, col.2, lines 50-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,435 Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wilson (U.S. 6,714,976) disclosed systems and methods for monitoring distributed applications using diagnostic information.

Yokoyama (U.S. PUB 2001/0029526A1) disclosed method for controlling a mobile agent.

Davis (U.S. PUB 2002/0099812A1) disclosed method and apparatus for tracking clients interaction with a network resource and creating client profiles and resource database.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 703-305-4623 or 571-272-3907 after October 2004. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 703-308-5221 or 571-272-3923 after October 2004. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,435 Page 6

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami Examiner Art Unit 2143

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
1 GUMNOLOGY CENTER 2100